## **Scrutiny and Overview Committee**

## Thursday, 3 April 2014

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Set out below is a summary of the recommendations made at the meeting of the Scrutiny and Overview Committee held on Thursday, 3 April 2014. The wording used does not necessarily reflect the actual wording that will appear in the minutes.

If you have any queries about any matters referred to in this recommendation summary please contact Victoria Wallace.

## 1. GREATER CAMBRIDGE CITY DEAL - GOVERNMENT OFFER

The Scrutiny and Overview Committee **NOTED** the report and **SUPPORTED** the principles of the Greater Cambridge City Deal.

## 2. COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL) INFRASTRUCTURE LIST

The Scrutiny and Overview Committee:

## (a) AGREED:

- That communication to parish councils regarding CIL should be refined in order to avoid misconceptions and ensure they had the greatest opportunity/encouragement to respond fully to the consultation, and to ensure that parish councils could put forward specific infrastructure of strategic importance as items they particulary wanted to see included as part of the Regulation 123 List.
- That communication was needed with parish councils to clarify the purpose of Neighbourhood Plans and the benefits in terms of CIL contributions of having such a plan.
- That Sawston developments should come under Section 106 rather than CIL and that this should be amended in the report to Cabinet.
- (b) **NOTED** the infrastructure items highlighted on the CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule
- (c) **RECOMMENDED** the draft Regulation 123 infrastructure list to Cabinet.

# 3. CONSERVATION SERVICE REVIEW

The Scrutiny and Overview Committee **ENDORSED** the measures to support implementation of the new Urban Design and Conservation Consultancy Team.

#### 4. SCRUTINY AND OVERVIEW ANNUAL REPORT 2013/14

The Chairman presented the draft Scrutiny and Overview Annual Report and informed Members that the Chairman's Foreword would be added to the report before it went to Full Council. Members confirmed that they agreed with the contents of the report and had no comments to add.